

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/828,239	04/09/2001	Kent Gregg	447694-4	5621
20686 7590 10/25/2005			EXAMINER	
DORSEY & V	VHITNEY, LLP	SEMBER, THOMAS M		
INTELLECTUA	AL PROPERTY DEPA	RTMENT		
370 SEVENTEENTH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 4700			2875	
DENVER, CO	80202-5647			_

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			OF THE STATE OF TH		
<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/828,239	GREGG, KENT		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Thomas M Sember	2875		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHO THE N - Extens after S - If the I - If NO - Failure - Any re	PRIENT STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deeriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, uply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08/0</u>	<u>8/2005</u> .			
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application		•		
, —	la) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.				
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Application	on Papers				
. 9) 🔲 1	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.			
	<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 2875

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 7, 15-17 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticiapted by Shea, Sr. Shea, Sr. discloses at least one light source 24; and at least one light conductive path (28-32) attached to and permanently formed and non-affixed on an exterior surface 12 of the helmet (SEE COLUMN 3, LINES 20-35, SPECIFICALLY LINES 33-34 STATES THE FLEXIBLE FIBER OPTIC TRANSMITTERS COULD BE MOLDED DIRECECTLY INTO THE EXTERIOR SURFACE 12), and having a first end optically coupled to the light source and a second end for emitting light.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 4 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shea, Sr. in view of Koenen Myers et al. Shea Sr. discloses the claimed invention

Art Unit: 2875

except for the teaching that the second end of the fiber optic conductor is polished to create a lens for emitting light. Koenen Myers et al teaches a second end of the fiber optic conductor is polished to create a lens for emitting light. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the fiber optic conductors of Shea, Sr. to include polished ends in order to efficiently transmit light as taught by Koenen Myers et al

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 5-6 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shea, Sr. in view of Chien. Shea Sr. discloses the claimed invention except for the plurality of LEDs or colored LEDs. Chien '271 teaches a plurality of LEDs 17 or colored LEDs (see column 8, lines 25-27) used to illuminate a helmet. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute a plurality of LEDs or colored LEDs for the light source of Shea, Sr. in order to provide colored illumination to each fiber optic and because LEDs are more efficient and last longer than other conventional low voltage light sources.

Art Unit: 2875

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 8-14 and 22-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shea, Sr. in view of Glatt. Shea Sr. discloses the claimed invention except for the logic circuitry. Glatt teaches providing logic circuitry to control the illumination of a helmet. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the circuitry of Shea, Sr. to include the logic circuitry of Glatt in order to efficiently and effectively control the illumination of the helmet of Shea, Sr..

### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 08/08/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Shea, Sr. fails to teach a light conducting path that is "permanently formed within and non-affixed on" an exterior surface. The examiner disagrees. Clearly, Shea, Sr. teaches a light conducting path (28-32) that is "permanently formed within and non-affixed on" an exterior surface 12 (column 3, lines 31-33) of helmet body 11. Or in the alternatively, the light conductor 12 can be placed between the exterior surface 12 of the helmet body 11 and the helmet body 11.

Application/Control Number: 09/828,239

Art Unit: 2875

#### Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M Sember whose telephone number is 571-272-2381. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8 A.M- 5.30 p.m. first Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2875

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas M Sember Primary Examiner Art Unit 2875